

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/581,008	TAKAMI ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	STEVEN C. POHNERT	1634	

All Participants:

(1) STEVEN C. POHNERT

(2) Jonathon Sparks

Date of Interview: 20 May 2010

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 9:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted applicant's representative in hopes of getting a better understanding of the invention so as to enable a better scope of enablement. However, due to time constraints the examiner was unable to set up such an interview and suggests the representative contact the examiner before filing a response in hopes of fully addressing the issues and moving the case to allowance.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)